

2. That primary extirpation of the kidney is indicated, first, in sarcoma of adult subjects; secondly, in benign neoplasms at any age; thirdly, in the early stage of tubercular disease; fourthly, in rupture of the ureter; and, lastly, in ureteral fistula.

3. That nephrectomy should not be resorted to until after the failure of other measures, first, in subcutaneous laceration of the kidney; secondly, in protrusion of the kidney through a wound in the loin; thirdly, in recent wounds of the kidney or of the ureter, inflicted in the performance of ovariectomy, hysterectomy, or other operations; fourthly, in suppurative lesions; fifthly, in hydronephrosis and cysts; sixthly, in calculus of an otherwise healthy kidney; and, finally, in painful floating kidney.

4. That nephrectomy is absolutely contraindicated, first, in sarcoma of children; secondly, in carcinoma at any age, unless, perhaps, the disease can be diagnosticated and removed at an early stage; and, thirdly, in the advanced period of tubercular disease.

ARTICLE IV.

REPORT OF NINE CASES (SECOND SERIES) OF PLEURITIC EFFUSION; WITH REMOVAL OF NINE HUNDRED AND SIX OUNCES OF FLUID. ALSO ONE OF PARACENTESIS IN ABSCESS OF THE LIVER;—A PINT AND A HALF OF PUS EVACUATED, WITH RECOVERY. By F. PEYRE PORCHER, M.D., Professor in the Medical College of the State of South Carolina, and one of the Physicians to the City Hospital, Charleston.

Our principal object in recording this new series of cases of paracentesis for pleuritic effusion (the others having been reported in *The Medical News* for Dec. 22, 1883) is that they will force upon us the conviction that this diseased condition is extremely frequent, and that often it is not recognized and remedied. Upon taking charge of the wards under our care in the hospital, which contain not more than sixty to eighty beds, we encounter during our last term of service of less than four months seven cases of pleuritic effusion; and detect the presence of hydrothorax by the autopsy in seven others not operated upon, besides leaving four additional cases under treatment. Most strange and singular fortune that it should happen to us in the ensuing four months to discover four more also, in private practice, and remove from two of these an additional two hundred and sixty-nine ounces. In the third case there was an offensive purulent accumulation in the pleural sac, which finally broke into the right lung causing gangrene of that organ; but surgical interference offered in time for its relief, was refused.

Such an irruption of cases (twenty-two), occurring in the practice of a single individual (and, with three exceptions, we have heard of no others

at the meetings of the Medical Society and Medical Association of South Carolina), can hardly be ascribed to fortuitousness, a fatality, or a mere coincidence! Many of these people were walking about seemingly in comparative comfort, and, with the exception of dyspnœa, or some unimportant sign of disease, giving scarcely any outward or superficial manifestation of the presence of intra-thoracic accumulations, which might—besides materially increasing their sufferings—go on to imperil or destroy their lives.

The present exhibit added to our first series, fully warrants us, therefore, in urging unusual care and watchfulness in *searching* for the presence of pleuritic or pericardial effusion, whenever dyspnœa and oppression exist; and even when absent, for they are not essential symptoms. To discover the existence of fluid in the thoracic cavity is a comparatively coarse procedure; and though it may presuppose some experience and practice, does not call for the exercise of any special refinement in auscultation and percussion: whereas to detect pericardial effusion is far more difficult, and requires exceeding nicety and skill in diagnosis.

It is not our province to enter here a special plea for such operations. It requires no great draught upon the imaginative faculties to conceive the relief afforded in such cases by early, judicious, and when necessary, repeated tapplings. How salutary must be the removal of pints or quarts of serous or sero-fibrinous effusions by the formation of which the blood had been robbed of its most important elements, which had been compressing the lungs; displacing and disturbing the heart, impairing the power of absorption and the normal functions of the organs in every region of the body; or which, passing by diapedesis into neighboring structures, the pericardial sac or even into the abdominal cavity, were mining the foundations, as it were, of the very citadels of life.

The following cases of paracentesis thoracis occurred in the medical wards of the City Hospital, from July 1 to Oct. 1, 1884, and to Dr. W. J. Bratton, House-Physician, I am indebted for the notes.

CASE I.—Wm. McP., æt. 70, admitted July 3d. History and symptoms of chronic pleurisy. Hypodermic needle showed presence of fluid in right pleura, confirming other diagnostic signs.

July 5. One quart was evacuated with aspirator.

15th. Two quarts more were drawn from same pleura. Diuretic of act. squill, etc., iodine externally, tonic treatment, etc., completed the cure. Discharged August 6.

CASE II.—Jane H., æt. 30 years, admitted July 10. Cardiac hypertrophy, degeneration and failure, with consequent hydrothorax and dropsy-pericardium. Effusion greatest in right pleura, and thoracentesis called for by urgent dyspnœa.

July 17. Aspirated right pleura, drawing forty-eight ounces, without tirely exhausting the sac of fluid. Re-accumulation promptly took place: but general anasarca, and her condition otherwise, made a repetition of the operation inadvisable, as useless. Died on 26th.

CASE III.—Calvin J., *et.* 40, admitted July 15. A case of atrophic kidney probably, followed by hypertrophied left ventricle and mitral failure. In spite of treatment, the serous effusion in both pleuræ which resulted called for operation on August 15, and about two quarts were drawn from right pleura, which seemed rather the fuller of the two. Deemed inadvisable to interfere with the other just then. At date (Sept. 30) doing very well under general treatment.

CASE IV.—Jeffrey G., *et.* 40, admitted July 17. This is probably a case of mitral regurgitation following great hypertrophy consequent upon chronic Bright's disease. Indeed, the mitral failure has become apparent only since admission. The patient was of scrofulous, perhaps syphilitic, taint, and there had probably been an old pleurisy on right side. At least, I can only attribute the much greater serous effusion which occurred, without rise of temperature, etc., on right side than on left, to some such change in the right pleural sac. Dyspnœa, etc., demanded operation.

July 23. Sixty-seven ounces were drawn from right pleura, and patient put on appropriate treatment. Nevertheless, the same symptoms called for a repetition of the tapping on Aug. 10, when sixty-one ounces were drawn, and on Sept. 17, when seventy ounces were aspirated.

Sept. 29. Dyspnœa, cough, failure of heart, etc., called for aspiration again—right pleura having once more swelled. Drew eighty-four ounces, and strapped that side.

As to quantity of fluid, and frequency of operation, the following will give a compact view:—

Case 1. Right pleural cavity, 32 ounces; right pleural cavity, 64 ounces.

Case 2. Right pleural cavity, 48 ounces.

Case 3. Right pleural cavity, 64 ounces.

Case 4. Right pleural cavity, 67 ounces; right pleural cavity, 61 ounces; right pleural cavity, 70 ounces; right pleural cavity, 84 ounces.

Punctured almost invariably between sixth and seventh ribs—mid-axillary line.

It is somewhat surprising, that in every case, without exception, the right pleura should have been found involved either alone, or chiefly.

While these were all the cases operated on for removal of pleural fluid, there were two cases, those of Isaac R., and Robert G., in which an attempt was made, ineffectually, owing to state of instruments used, to evacuate pleural effusion. Both died, and effusion of considerable extent was found in the right sac in the case of R.; and excessive accumulation in both sacs, in the case of G. Hydro-pericardium was found in both—not enough to cause death independently of hydrothorax.

Besides, there were the cases of Marcus N., Amy S., Eve W., and A. B. All these suffered from ascites, and were tapped for its relief—Amy S. several times; in all hydrothorax was either made out, or confidently predicated, œdema of chest walls, in all but B. preventing absolute demonstration. Operation was useless, and all died. In each and every one both pleural sacs were distended with effusion.

Then, in case of Dober McN., who died before relief could be afforded, fluid was diagnosed in right pleura, and one and one-half pints found there after death.

As no record was kept of every case, some have no doubt escaped me: at present there are in the ward at least four cases of abnormal collection of fluid in pleura.

CASE V.—Louis V. N., æt. 55, white male; admitted June 13th, with great distension of abdomen and chest, with swelling of legs and arms. After careful examination no organic disease could be found. Heart-sounds not clear, but no lesion could be positively made out; urine normal; liver somewhat enlarged. Diagnosis: chronic malarial poison reducing tone of vessels. At 10 P. M., dyspnoea so great patient was tapped by house physician, and about two gallons of fluid drawn from abdomen.

Fluid being found in pleural cavity of right side on July 4th, patient was aspirated between seventh and eighth ribs near angle, and f̄xxxvi drawn off; great relief experienced. Patient put on a tonic diuretic mixture, and improved steadily. Discharged August 1st, well. No return of fluid up to this date.

CASE VI.—M. F. McB., æt. 36, white male; hard drinker, digestion poor, stomach irritable, general condition poor, much emaciation. Patient had been exposed to a damp, cold, and sunless jail. Has cough and pain in side, with considerable dyspnoea. Diagnosis: general debility with chronic pleurisy; there being considerable effusion detected on right side, patient was aspirated on July 24th between sixth and seventh ribs, below the angle of the scapula, and f̄xxxvi removed. Great relief experienced. At date, Oct. 10th, fluid seems to have re-accumulated to some extent.

CASE VII.—Mrs. K., æt. 70, white, female; admitted July 21, 1884. Patient's legs œdematous, little or no fluid in abdomen; urine about normal; heart weak, intermittent, and irregular. Patient "took cold" several years ago going into a damp cellar; she has great dyspnoea, and is pretty weak; dulness over greater part of right side. Fluid being found by hypodermic needle, patient was aspirated on August 5th between sixth and seventh ribs, three inches below the angle of the scapula, and f̄xxxvii removed; great relief experienced. Patient put on tonic diuretic; swelling of legs much reduced. At date, fluid has re-accumulated to some extent.

[Above three cases, July 1 to October 10, 1884, reported by Dr. Mayck P. Ravenel, House Physician.]

CASE VIII.—Was consulted, by letter, by a lady, Mrs. P., æt. 55, residing at a distance from this city, who complained greatly of dyspnoea, fainting attacks, and great oppression with inability to sleep. Suspecting pleuritic effusion as a probable cause, we visited her taking the precaution to provide an aspirator, to which Fitch's Dome trocars were attached.

Upon examination, it was found that grave disease of the heart co-existed with effusion; and a few minutes after arrival forty-eight ounces of sero-sanguinolent fluid were removed from the right cavity of the thorax.

The suffering and oppression had been extreme, at intervals, for many weeks before our visit (which prevented her from coming to the city), and though partially relieved, she survived but a few days.

CASE IX.—In attendance upon Mr. G., æt. 40, who was suffering from difficulty of breathing and great oppression, especially at night. He declared that he was perfectly well three months previously, *i. e.*, in February last; but had been exposed to draughts of air while at work in a large store, and undoubtedly he had had a latent and undetected pleurisy. He had no fever at any time after we saw him; his urine was highly albuminous (three-fourths of test-tube); insufficiency of the aortic and

stenosis of the mitral valves existed, with some dropsy of the lower extremities; mind, as usual in all such cases, perfectly clear.

After careful examination and the preliminary insertion of the hypodermic needle, ninety-two ounces of serum, slightly stained with blood, were removed (April 25, 1885) with the aspirator from the right cavity of the chest. Dr. J. M. Caldwell and my son, Dr. W. P. Porcher, were present.

The needle was entered three inches below the lower border of the scapula. We find it to be an indifferent matter whether the puncture be made here, or at the middle axillary space, at a point intermediate between these two, or even in front below, and to the outside of the nipple; the main indication is to select the centre of the area of dulness; and, for obvious reasons, avoid penetrating too high or too low.

An attack of cough warned us to desist. Great relief from the desperate attacks of dyspnoea followed. In ten days, however (May 5th), we discovered that the fluid had re-accumulated, notwithstanding that blisters and iodide of potash, with diuretics, had all along been employed, and ninety-five ounces of a similar fluid were evacuated.

Again his life is measurably prolonged; the fluid is re-accumulating for the third time; I fear that two more quarts can be aspirated, but the patient is anxious for a repetition of the operation, on account of the great relief it necessarily affords.

[May 17, removed eighty-two ounces.]

But where disease of the heart coexists, far greater gravity is imparted, and this patient has three organic diseases to contend with, namely, of the pleura, the heart, and the kidneys.

Cases such as this—equally with anasarca where the entire body, “pallid and languid,” saturated and sodden by serum—recall to us the pathology of Horace (Carm. Lib. ii. 2):—

Crescit indulgens sibi dirus hydrops,
Nec sitim pellit, nisi causa morbi,
Fugerit venis, et aquosus albo
Corpore languor.

With the direfully irremediable nature of which the ancients—who probably had no aspirators—were as much impressed as we are. (See also Ovid, Fast. I. 211.)

Abscess of Liver.—One pint and a half (24 ounces) of pus removed; with recovery. (Reported by Dr. Alford, House Physician.)—Patrick J., age 37. Patient presented himself for treatment in the medical ward of the hospital, September 11, 1884; is a native of Camden, South Carolina, from whence he removed to Charleston ten years ago, where he has resided ever since, except the past three years spent in Savannah, Georgia, in a malarial portion of the city, and he became subject to “chills and fever.”

A pain over the region of the liver began about the first of July last, and has been continuous, but not severe, and with no history of injury connected with it. Two weeks previous to his admission it became more

¹ We are inclined, however, to think that both of the classic authors referred to, had in mind the insatiable thirst and the polyuria of diabetic dropsy.

acute, and within the past week he has had several chills and vomited once or twice.

Present Condition.—Somewhat reduced in flesh; loss of appetite; having a disgust for all kinds of food; motions from the bowels are regular once a day; sometimes twice; stools are straw-colored and pasty; breathing hurried and shallow; deep inspirations or sudden movements of the body elicits pain; tenderness is experienced on pressure in the region of the umbilicus and hypochondrium; the area of hepatic dulness is increased in all directions—notably below the false ribs, where there is also considerable tension and swelling; the point most noticeable is on a level with umbilicus about four inches to right and in a line with nipple; fluctuation is distinct and a hypodermic needle was introduced and pus withdrawn. The attending physician (Dr. Porcher) was sent for, and abscess of liver diagnosed. He decided to puncture it immediately with a large size trocar at the location mentioned above, where swelling was most marked, and a pint and a half of pus of a yellowish color, becoming bloody towards the last, escaped. A drainage tube was passed through the canula, and the latter removed, leaving the former in place; pus continued to discharge. (Operation after the method of Dr. Patrick Manson, of the Chinese Maritime Service.) Had a chill the next day, and his temperature rose to 105.2° ; nauseated and vomited two or three times. R. Quinine and iron given three times a day.

Sept. 15. Temperature has reached 105° , every evening since the operation; drainage tube removed; opening discharging continuously.

16th. Temperature did not rise higher than 102° to-day; is more comfortable, and has more relish for food, but has diarrhoea.

Dec. 16. Temperature normal; appetite is better; regained some flesh and the area of liver dulness markedly diminished; though pus continues to drain away. Has been taking quinine, sulphide calcium, Fehlow's syrup of the hypophosphites, and sherry wine.

March 1, 1885. His general condition is much improved; the discharge from fistulous opening is small in amount and thin and serous in looks; appetite very good; bowels regular and stools more of a natural color. Patient is still under treatment in the hospital.

April 20. Has been discharged from hospital, and can walk two miles without inconvenience.

May 12. No signs of his former disease.

ARTICLE V.

A CASE OF CHOLECYSTOTOMY. By CHARLES T. PARKES, M.D., Professor of Anatomy in Rush Medical College, Chicago.¹

THE rather recent revival of operative procedures for the relief of obstruction of the biliary ducts, has awakened a new and lively interest in all such cases; especially as the entire number of reported operations falls within a total of fifty.

¹ Read before the American Surgical Association, April 24, 1883.